NSSE 2015 Snapshot



University of New Hampshire

A Summary of Student Engagement Results

Student engagement represents two critical features of collegiate quality. The first is the amount of time and effort students put into their studies and other educationally purposeful activities. The second is how institutional resources, courses, and other learning opportunities facilitate student participation in activities that matter to student learning. NSSE surveys first-year and senior students to assess their levels of engagement and related information about their experience at your institution.

Comparison Group

The comparison group featured in this report is

NE & National Comp

See your Selected Comparison Groups report for details.

This Snapshot is a concise collection of key findings from your institution's NSSE 2015 administration. We hope this information stimulates discussions about the undergraduate experience. Additional details about these and other results appear in the reports referenced throughout.

Engagement Indicators			Your students	•
Sets of items are grouped into ten			NE & National Comp	
Engagement Indicators, organized	Theme	Engagement Indicator	First-year	Senior
under four broad themes. At right are summary results for your	Academic Challenge	Higher-Order Learning		Δ
nstitution. For details, see your Engagement Indicators report.		Reflective & Integrative Learning		
Engagement matcalors report.		Learning Strategies		
Key:		Quantitative Reasoning	Δ	
Your students' average was significantly higher (p < .05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.	Learning	Collaborative Learning	Δ	Δ
Your students' average was significantly higher ($p < .05$) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.	with Peers	Discussions with Diverse Others	∇	▼
No significant difference.	Experiences with Faculty	Student-Faculty Interaction		Δ
Your students' average was significantly lower ($p < .05$) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.		Effective Teaching Practices	Δ	Δ
Your students' average was significantly	Campus Environment	Quality of Interactions	Δ	Δ
▼ lower (p < .05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.		Supportive Environment		


High-Impact Practices

Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, special undergraduate opportunities are designated "highimpact." For more details and statistical comparisons, see your High-Impact Practices report.

First-year

Senior

Experience






NSSE 2015 Snapshot

University of New Hampshire

Academic Challenge: Additional Results


The Academic Challenge theme contains four Engagement Indicators as well as several important individual items. The results presented here provide an overview of these individual items. For more information about the Academic Challenge theme, see your *Engagement Indicators* report. To further explore individual item results, see your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons*, the *Major Field Report*, the *Online Institutional Report*, or the Report Builder—Institution Version.

Time Spent Preparing for Class




Reading and Writing

These figures summarize the number of hours your students spent reading for their courses and the average number of pages of assigned writing compared to students in your comparison group. Each is an estimate calculated from two or more separate survey questions.




Challenging Students to Do Their Best Work

To what extent did students' courses challenge them to do their best work? Response options ranged from 1 = "Not at all" to 7 = "Very much."



Academic Emphasis

How much did students say their institution emphasizes spending significant time studying and on academic work? Response options included "Very much," "Quite a bit," "Some," and "Very little."



NSSE national survey of student engagement

NSSE 2015 Snapshot

University of New Hampshire

Item Comparisons

By examining individual NSSE questions, you can better understand what contributes to your institution's performance on Engagement Indicators and High-Impact Practices. This section displays the five questions^a on which your first-year and senior students scored the highest and the five questions on which they scored the lowest, relative to students in your comparison group. Parenthetical notes indicate whether an item belongs to a specific Engagement Indicator or is a High-Impact Practice. While these questions represent the largest differences (in percentage points), they may not be the most important to your institutional mission or current program or policy goals. For additional results, see your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report.

First-year


Highest Performing Relative to NE & National Comp

Worked with other students on course projects or assignments ^b (CL)					
Institution emphasis on helping you manage your non-academic responsibilities () $^{\rm c}$ (SE)					
Quality of interactions with other administrative staff and offices $\left(ight)^{d}$ (QI)					
Prepared for exams by discussing or working through course material w/other students $^{\rm b}$ (CL)					
Used numerical information to examine a real-world problem or issue $\left(ight)^{b}$ (QR)					
Lowest Performing Relative to NE & National Comp					
Spent more than 10 hours per week on assigned reading ^f					
Discussions with People with religious beliefs other than your own ^b (DD)					

Participated in a learning community or some other formal program where... (HIP)

Spent more than 15 hours per week preparing for class

Discussions with... People of a race or ethnicity other than your own^b (DD)



Percentage Point Difference with NE & National Comp

Senior

Highest Performing Relative to NE & National Comp


Completed a culminating senior experience () (HIP)				
About how many courses have included a community-based project (service-learning)? ^e (HIP)				
Instructors provided feedback on a draft or work in progress ^c (ET)				

Assigned more than 50 pages of writing^g

Prepared for exams by discussing or working through course material w/other students^b (CL)

Lowest Performing Relative to NE & National Comp

Discussions with People with political views other than your own^b (DD)					
Discussions with People from an economic background other than your $own^b\left(DD\right)$					
Spent more than 15 hours per week preparing for class					
Discussions with People with religious beliefs other than your own^b (DD)					
Discussions with People of a race or ethnicity other than your own^b (DD)					



Percentage Point Difference with NE & National Comp

a. The displays on this page draw from the items that make up the ten Engagement Indicators (EIs), six High-Impact Practices (HIPs), and the additional academic challenge items reported on page 2. Key to abbreviations for EI items: HO = Higher-Order Learning, RI = Reflective & Integrative Learning, LS = Learning Strategies, QR = Quantitative Reasoning, CL = Collaborative Learning, DD = Discussions with Diverse Others, SF = Student-Faculty Interaction, ET = Effective Teaching Practices, QI = Quality of Interactions, SE = Supportive Environment. HIP items are also indicated. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile included in your *Institutional Report* and available on the NSSE website.

b. Combination of students responding "Very often" or "Often."c. Combination of students responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit."

d. Detail at least 6 and 7 naint and

d. Rated at least 6 on a 7-point scale.

e. Percentage reporting at least "Some."

g. Estimate based on number of assigned writing tasks of various lengths.

f. Estimate based on the reported amount of course preparation time spent on assigned reading.



NSSE 2015 Snapshot

University of New Hampshire

How Students Assess Their Experience


Students' perceptions of their cognitive and affective development, as well as their overall satisfaction with the institution, provide useful evidence of their educational experiences. For more details, see your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report.

Perceived Gains Among Seniors

Students reported how much their experience at your institution contributed to their knowledge, skills, and personal development in ten areas.

Satisfaction with UNH

Students rated their overall experience at the institution, and whether or not they would choose it again.



Administration Details

Response Summary

	Count	Resp. rate	Female	Full-time
First-year	864	32%	61%	100%
Senior	738	33%	62%	99%

See your Administration Summary and Respondent Profile reports for more information.

Additional Questions

Your institution administered the following additional question set(s): Academic Advising

Learning with Technology

See your Topical Module report(s) for results.

What is NSSE?

NSSE annually collects information at hundreds of four-year colleges and universities about student participation in activities and programs that promote their learning and personal development. The results provide an estimate of how undergraduates spend their time and what they gain from attending their college or university. Institutions use their data to identify aspects of the undergraduate experience that can be improved through changes in policy and practice.

NSSE has been in operation since 2000 and has been used at more than 1,500 colleges and universities in the US and Canada. More than 90% of participating institutions administer the survey on a periodic basis.

Visit our website: nsse.indiana.edu